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| Is the article original? Is it based on the results of the author's own research, and not a compilation of already known works / publications? |  |  |
| Does the paper provide new knowledge and/or significantly add to existing knowledge? |  |  |
| Is the discussed topic interesting for a wider audience, including international readers? |  |  |
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|
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| Are the keywords properly selected? |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
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| **INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW** | YES | NO |
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| Does the introduction show the purpose of the presented research? |  |  |
| Is the purpose of the article clearly defined? |  |  |
| Does the review of the existing literature demonstrate knowledge of the field internationally in all relevant aspects of the study? |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **METHODS OF ENQUIRY, SAMPLING/SELECTION, DATA ANALYSIS** | YES | NO |
| Are the research methods used appropriate to the research objective? |  |  |
| Are the research methods used well justified? |  |  |
| Are the research tools used well justified?\* |  |  |
| If the research includes a qualitative study, is the selection of participants/context appropriately justified and elaborated?\* |  |  |
| Are the basic characteristics of the sample/respondents correctly described?\* |  |  |
| Is the data analysis carried out correctly?\* |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF FINDINGS** | YES | NO |
|
| Are the findings/results important to a given area of research? |  |  |
| Are the findings/results presented in a clear and understandable way? |  |  |
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| Are the conclusions justified in the presented research findings/results? |  |  |
| Are the conclusions clear and understandable? |  |  |
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| Are all the references and footnotes properly formatted, complete and detailed? |  |  |
| Are all the figures (drawings, charts), tables and photos necessary? |  |  |
| Are the descriptions of figures, tables and photos correct? |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **LANGUAGE** | YES | NO |
| Is the language used in the article precise and understandable? (Note: if not, should the text be sent back to the author for correction?) |  |  |
| Does the language meet the requirements of lexical, grammatical and stylistic correctness? |  |  |
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